

Semantics of arithmetic and Boolean expressions

William Steingartner

william.steingartner@tuke.sk

Department of Computers and Informatics
Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Informatics
Technical University of Košice, Slovakia

Topics

- 1 Formal definition of programming language.
- 2 Formal definition of binary numbers language.
- 3 Semantics of arithmetic expressions.
- 4 Semantics of Boolean expressions.

Formal definition of programming language

Formal definition of programming language has the following parts:

- definition of **abstract syntax** with:
 - **syntactic domains** – the elements of one syntactic domain must be of the same internal structure,
 - **production rules** – they define acceptable forms of elements in particular syntactic domains,
- definition of **semantics** with:
 - **semantics domains**,
 - specification of **semantics functions**, i.e. their domains and ranges,
 - **semantics equations** or **derivation rules**, that defines particular semantic functions.

Formal definition of language

Semantic domain is a structure which contains meanings of particular syntactic forms from the given syntactic domain.

For simplicity we will use only **semantic domains based on sets**:

- simple sets like sets of integers \mathbb{Z} ,
- the results of set operations, e.g. union, intersection, etc.,
- sets of functions over defined semantic domains.

Semantic domain of programming language is an union of all semantic domains of language. We say that this set is a **model of programming language**.

Formal definition of language

Semantic function maps syntactic domain into appropriate semantic domain. Its **specification** is denoted in general form:

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Synt} \rightarrow \text{Sem}$$

where

- **Synt** is replaced by concrete syntactic domain, and
- **Sem** is replaced by appropriate semantic domain.

We specify one semantic function for each syntactic domain.

Semantic function **is defined** by:

- semantic equations or
- derivation rules,

which define the meaning of particular syntactic forms in production rule for given syntactic domain.

Formal definition of binary numbers language

Let's take as a motivational approach the binary numbers language.

1. Formal syntax:

- a. we need only one syntactic domain for binary numerals:

$$n \in \mathbf{Bin},$$

- b. the abstract syntax could then be specified by production rule:

$$n ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid n0 \mid n1.$$

Formal definition of binary numbers language

2. Semantics

- a. meaning of any numeral shall be unique number in decadic form, which are elements of sets of integer \mathbf{Z} .
- b. we specify one semantic function (for one syntactic domain):

$$\mathcal{N} : \mathbf{Bin} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z},$$

and we want \mathcal{N} to be a total function because we want to determine a unique number for each numeral of \mathbf{Bin} .

Formal definition of binary numbers language

- c. we define four **semantic equations**, one for each alternative in production rule.

They define the meaning of particular forms in production rule in terms of semantic domain (\mathbf{Z}) elements:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}[[0]] &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathcal{N}[[1]] &= \mathbf{1}, \\ \mathcal{N}[[n0]] &= \mathbf{2} \otimes \mathcal{N}[[n]], \\ \mathcal{N}[[n1]] &= \mathbf{2} \otimes \mathcal{N}[[n]] \oplus \mathbf{1}.\end{aligned}$$

- ' $[[$ ' and ' $]]$ ' are **semantic brackets**, inside them **syntactic form** is enclosed,
- $\mathcal{N}[[n]]$ is **application of semantic function** on element of syntactic domain, the result here is meaning of binary numeral n , i.e. an integer $\mathcal{N}[[n]] \in \mathbf{Z}$,
- \otimes, \oplus – are real arithmetic operations,
- $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$ – are numbers in contrast to symbols 0, 1 and 2 in syntax.

Example

Notation 101 is well-formed syntactic form of binary numeral. We evaluate its semantics.

A **semantics** is computed by applying the semantic function on the particular alternatives in production rule.

Numeral 101 is of the 4^{th} form in production rule – $n1$, so we apply the 4^{th} semantic equation and n be 10:

$$\mathcal{N}[[101]] = \mathbf{2} \otimes \mathcal{N}[[10]] \oplus \mathbf{1} =$$

Numeral 10 in semantic brackets is of the 3^{rd} form in prod. rule, so we apply the 3^{rd} semantic equation. After that we continue until we find the integer which is the meaning of binary numeral 101:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbf{2} \otimes (\mathbf{2} \otimes \mathcal{N}[[1]]) \oplus \mathbf{1} = \\ &= \mathbf{2} \otimes (\mathbf{2} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \oplus \mathbf{1} = \\ &= \mathbf{5}. \end{aligned}$$



Problem as motivation

There exists a complete canonical representation in the form of reduced numerals (numerals without leading zeros). The syntax for these is:

$$n' ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid 1n$$

where $n' \in \mathbf{Bin}'$, the set of reduced numerals, and $n \in \mathbf{Bin}$ as defined before. Notice that it is not quite as easy to give a semantic function

$$\mathcal{N}' : \mathbf{Bin}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Z},$$

for the reduced numerals.

The most convenient way is by means of an auxiliary function

$$\mathcal{L} : \mathbf{Bin} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$$

which gives the „length“ of a number.

Define $\mathcal{N}'!$

Structural induction

Structural induction is a proof method that is used in mathematical logic, computer science, graph theory, and some other mathematical fields.

Structural induction is used to prove that some proposition $P(x)$ holds for all x of some sort of recursively defined structure.

In our course we will use proofs by structural induction on the **structure** of particular **syntactic domains**.

Mathematical and structural induction

Mathematical induction proves some property P on natural numbers:

- 1 we **prove** the property for value 1, i.e. $P(1)$,
- 2 we **formulate** an **induction hypothesis**:
 - we **assume** that the property P holds for all naturals $n \leq k$, i.e. $P(k)$,
- 3 we **prove** that the property P holds for $k + 1$, i.e. $P(k + 1)$.

Then the property holds for all naturals: $P(n), n \in \mathbf{N}$.

The **structural induction** proves some property P for some syntactic domain:

- 1 we **prove**, that the property holds for simple (atomic) elements in syntactic domain,
- 2 we **formulate** an induction hypothesis:
 - we **assume**, that the property P holds for sub-elements of each compound element,
- 3 we **prove**, that the property P holds for each composite element.

Then the property holds for all elements in syntactic domain.

Example of proof

Lemma: Semantic function $\mathcal{N} : \mathbf{Bin} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is total function.

Proof:

\mathcal{N} is total function, if it is defined for **all** argument, i.e. if for all arguments $n \in \mathbf{Bin}$ there is **exactly one** number $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that

$$\mathcal{N}[[n]] = \mathbf{n} \quad (*)$$

To prove (*) we have to prove it for all possibilities in production rule.

- 1 We prove the property for the basis elements of \mathbf{Bin} :
 - the case $n = 0$: only one of the semantic clauses defining \mathcal{N} can be used and it gives $\mathcal{N}[[0]] = \mathbf{0}$, so clearly there is exactly one number \mathbf{n} in \mathbf{Z} such that $\mathcal{N}[[n]] = \mathbf{n}$, namely $\mathbf{0}$,
 - the case $n = 1$: the proof is similar.

Proof by structural induction

② Compound elements in **Bin** are $n0$ and $n1$.

- the case $n = n'0$:

we see that only one of the clauses is applicable and we have

$$\mathcal{N}[[n'0]] = \mathbf{2} \otimes \mathcal{N}[[n']].$$

We can now apply the induction hypothesis to n' and get that there is exactly one number \mathbf{n}' such that $\mathcal{N}[[n']] = \mathbf{n}'$.

Then it is clear that there is exactly one number \mathbf{n} (namely $\mathbf{2} \otimes \mathbf{n}'$) such that $\mathcal{N}[[n]] = \mathbf{n}$.

- the case $n = n'1$: the proof is similar.

□

Simple imperative language *Jane*

Syntax

Syntactic domains:

| | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| $n \in \mathbf{Num}$ | for numerals, |
| $x \in \mathbf{Var}$ | for variable, |
| $e \in \mathbf{Expr}$ | for arithmetic expressions, |
| $b \in \mathbf{Bexp}$ | for Boolean expressions, |
| $S \in \mathbf{Statm}$ | for statements. |

Production rules:

$$e ::= n \mid x \mid e + e \mid e - e \mid e * e,$$
$$b ::= \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid e = e \mid e \leq e \mid \neg b \mid b \wedge b,$$
$$S ::= x := e \mid \mathbf{skip} \mid S; S \mid \mathbf{if } b \mathbf{ then } S \mathbf{ else } S \mid \mathbf{while } b \mathbf{ do } S.$$

Semantics of arithmetic expressions

Semantics of arithmetic expressions:

- is defined only for untyped expressions here,
- this allows us to define semantics of arithmetic expressions by **uniform** way for **different** methods of semantics.

Semantic domains:

- meaning of each arithmetic expression is its **value**, in our language it is integer, so we define **semantic domain Z** of integers,
- the meaning of an expression depends on the values bound to the variables that occur in it. We shall therefore introduce the concept of a **state**.

Semantics of arithmetic expressions

We define **semantic domain of states** State , where the elements are **states** s :

$$s \in \text{State}.$$

We shall represent a state as a function from variables to values:

$$s : \text{Var} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z},$$

which assigns to each variable occurring in an expression an exact value from semantic domain \mathbf{Z} .

Semantic domain State is a set of all functions from the set Var to the set \mathbf{Z} .

We call this set also **function space**:

$$\text{State} = \text{Var} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}.$$

Semantics of arithmetic expressions

State s is a function which provides value for variable x

$$s \ x \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

When variables x and y occur in an expression and their values are **3** and **5**, resp., state can be expressed as a list:

$$s = [x \mapsto \mathbf{3}, y \mapsto \mathbf{5}] \quad \text{or} \quad s \ x = \mathbf{3}, s \ y = \mathbf{5}.$$

State is an **abstraction of computer memory** for the purpose of semantics.

Semantics of arithmetic expressions

Given an arithmetic expression e and a state s , we can determine the value of the expression. Therefore we shall define the meaning of arithmetic expressions as a total function \mathcal{E} :

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathbf{Expr} \rightarrow \mathbf{State} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}.$$

Function is written in **Curry style**.

Function \mathcal{E} takes two arguments:

- the syntactic construct (an element of \mathbf{Expr}), and
- the state, an element of \mathbf{State} .



Haskell Curry (1900-1982)

Semantics of arithmetic expressions

Semantic function

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathbf{Expr} \rightarrow \mathbf{State} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$$

is function of two arguments. It takes its parameters one at a time.

- 1 We may supply \mathcal{E} with its first parameter, for instance $x + y - 5$, and study the function

$$\mathcal{E}[[x + y - 5]] : \mathbf{State} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}.$$

Syntactic constructs are always enclosed in semantic brackets.

- 2 When we supply the function $\mathcal{E}[[x + y - 5]]$ with a state s , we obtain the value of the expression $x + y - 5$:

$$\mathcal{E}[[x + y - 5]] s \in \mathbf{Z}$$

Here s is the second argument of the function, not an index!

Semantics of arithmetic expressions

The semantics of arithmetic expressions is defined on each arithmetic expression:

$$\mathcal{E}[[n]]s = \mathcal{N}[[n]]$$

$$\mathcal{E}[[x]]s = s x$$

$$\mathcal{E}[[e_1 + e_2]]s = \mathcal{E}[[e_1]]s \oplus \mathcal{E}[[e_2]]s$$

$$\mathcal{E}[[e_1 * e_2]]s = \mathcal{E}[[e_1]]s \otimes \mathcal{E}[[e_2]]s$$

$$\mathcal{E}[[e_1 - e_2]]s = \mathcal{E}[[e_1]]s \ominus \mathcal{E}[[e_2]]s$$

$$\mathcal{E}[[e]]s = (\mathcal{E}[[e]]s)$$

Here s is an input state, i.e. an input argument for semantic function. After evaluation its value is **unchanged**.

Semantics of arithmetic expressions: Example

Let $x + (y - 5)$ be an arithmetic expression and suppose $s = [x \mapsto \mathbf{2}, y \mapsto \mathbf{10}]$.

An expression is of the form $e + e$, so we start with an application of the third semantic equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}[[x + (y - 5)]] s &= \mathcal{E}[[x]] s \oplus \mathcal{E}[[y - 5]] s \\ &= s x \oplus (\mathcal{E}[[y]] s \ominus \mathcal{E}[[5]] s) \\ &= s x \oplus (s y \ominus \mathcal{N}[[5]]) \\ &= \mathbf{2} \oplus (\mathbf{10} \ominus \mathbf{5}) \\ &= \mathbf{7}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Problem as motivation. Suppose we add the arithmetic expression $-e$ to our language. Define its semantics!

Semantics of Boolean expressions

Meaning of Boolean expression is a truth value. Semantic domain of truth values is a set:

$$\mathbf{B} = \{\mathbf{tt}, \mathbf{ff}\}$$

where

- **tt** is used for true,
- **ff** is used for false.

The denotations `true` and `false` are considered as syntactic elements, not truth values!

We define (total) semantic function

$$\mathcal{B} : \mathbf{Bexp} \rightarrow \mathbf{State} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}.$$

Semantics of Boolean expressions

We define semantic clauses as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}[\mathbf{true}] s = \mathbf{tt},$$

$$\mathcal{B}[\mathbf{false}] s = \mathbf{ff},$$

$$\mathcal{B}[e_1 = e_2] s = \begin{cases} \mathbf{tt} & \text{if } \mathcal{E}[e_1] s = \mathcal{E}[e_2] s, \\ \mathbf{ff} & \text{if } \mathcal{E}[e_1] s \neq \mathcal{E}[e_2] s, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[e_1 \leq e_2] s = \begin{cases} \mathbf{tt} & \text{if } \mathcal{E}[e_1] s \leq \mathcal{E}[e_2] s, \\ \mathbf{ff} & \text{if } \mathcal{E}[e_1] s > \mathcal{E}[e_2] s, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[\neg b] s = \begin{cases} \mathbf{tt} & \text{if } \mathcal{B}[b] s = \mathbf{ff}, \\ \mathbf{ff} & \text{if } \mathcal{B}[b] s = \mathbf{tt}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[b_1 \wedge b_2] s = \begin{cases} \mathbf{tt} & \text{if } \mathcal{B}[b_1] s = \mathbf{tt} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}[b_2] s = \mathbf{tt}, \\ \mathbf{ff} & \text{if } \mathcal{B}[b_1] s = \mathbf{ff} \text{ or } \mathcal{B}[b_2] s = \mathbf{ff}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[(b)] s = (\mathcal{B}[b] s).$$

Semantics of Boolean expressions: Example

We find a meaning of an expression $\neg(x + y \leq 10)$. We suppose $s = [x \mapsto \mathbf{2}, y \mapsto \mathbf{1}]$.

Inner expression is of the form $e = e$, the outermost one of the form $\neg b$.
Firstly, we determine $x + y$ in the state s .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}[x + y] s &= \mathcal{E}[x] s \oplus \mathcal{E}[y] s = s x + s y = \mathbf{3}, \\ \mathcal{E}[10] s &= \mathcal{N}[10] = \mathbf{10}, \\ \mathcal{B}[x + y \leq 10] s &= \mathcal{E}[x + y] s \leq \mathcal{E}[10] s = \\ &= \mathbf{3} \leq \mathbf{10} \\ &= \mathbf{tt}.\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\mathbf{3} \leq \mathbf{10}$, so it follows that its negation is false:

$$\mathcal{B}[\neg(x + y \leq 10)] s = \mathbf{ff}.$$



Semantics of expressions

When working with arithmetic and Boolean expressions, we need two more concepts:

- 1 a meaning of expression depends **only** on values of variables that occur in it. The **free variables** of an expression is defined to be the set of variables occurring in it. Formally, we may give a compositional definition of subsets $FV(e)$ of \mathbf{Var} . We may define the set $FV(e)$:

$$\begin{aligned}FV(n) &= \emptyset \\FV(x) &= \{x\} \\FV(e_1 + e_2) &= FV(e_1) \cup FV(e_2) \\FV(e_1 * e_2) &= FV(e_1) \cup FV(e_2) \\FV(e_1 - e_2) &= FV(e_1) \cup FV(e_2)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma: Let s and s' be two states satisfying that

$$s \ x = \ s' \ x$$

for all $x \in FV(e)$ in an arithmetic expression e . Then

$$\mathcal{E}[e] \ s = \mathcal{E}[e] \ s'.$$

Proof: Using structural induction on the arithmetic expression (*Homework*).

Substitutions

- 2 an occurrence of a variable in an arithmetic expression can be replaced with another arithmetic expression.

Substitution is used also for **state actualization**.

Change the initial state s to the new state s_0 is denoted as follows:

$$s' = s[y \mapsto \mathbf{a}]$$

which means that new state s_0 is a state s except that the value bound to y is $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{Z}$. Formally:

$$s' x = (s[y \mapsto \mathbf{a}]) x = \begin{cases} \mathbf{a} & \text{if } x = y, \\ s x & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$